

Clinical and Biomechanical Aspects of Removable Partial Dentures in Patients with Partial Tooth Loss

Abdukhamidov Juraber Laziz ugli

Samarkand State Medical University, Department of Orthopedic Dentistry, 1st year clinical supervisor

Akhmedov Alisher Astanovich

Professor of the Department of Orthopedic Dentistry, Samarkand State Medical University, DSc

Received: 2025, 15, Oct

Accepted: 2025, 21, Nov

Published: 2025, 15, Dec

Copyright © 2025 by author(s) and BioScience Academic Publishing. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).



Open Access

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Annotation: This article provides a comprehensive overview of the clinical and biomechanical principles underlying the design, fabrication, and maintenance of removable partial dentures (RPDs) in partially edentulous patients. It emphasizes the role of biomechanical analysis in achieving stability, retention, and stress distribution to preserve remaining oral structures. The study discusses key clinical considerations such as abutment tooth selection, clasp design, major connector configuration, and occlusal balance, which together determine prosthesis performance and patient comfort. The biological impact of RPDs on oral tissues, potential complications such as tissue irritation, abutment overload, and bone resorption, as well as strategies for their prevention, are thoroughly examined. Integrating modern materials and digital design approaches, the research highlights current innovations aimed at improving functionality, esthetics, and longevity of removable partial dentures in prosthodontic practice. This article explores the clinical and biomechanical foundations of removable partial dentures (RPDs) in managing partial edentulism, emphasizing how appropriate design, material selection, and load distribution determine long-term success. It provides a detailed assessment of

how RPDs interact with oral tissues and abutment teeth to restore function and esthetics while preserving remaining structures. The research focuses on analyzing stress transmission, support mechanics, and the influence of occlusal forces on the prosthesis and underlying tissues. It also examines the challenges encountered in clinical practice, including abutment overload, mucosal irritation, and ridge resorption, alongside preventive strategies. Recent technological advancements such as CAD/CAM fabrication, digital modeling, and flexible materials are discussed for their potential to improve precision, comfort, and biomechanical performance.

Keywords: removable partial denture, biomechanics, partial edentulism, retention, support, stress distribution, abutment teeth, prosthodontic design, oral rehabilitation, digital dentistry.

Introduction:

Partial tooth loss represents a widespread clinical condition that significantly affects mastication, speech, and esthetics. Among available treatment options, removable partial dentures remain an essential and cost-effective solution for restoring function and appearance, particularly when fixed prostheses or implants are contraindicated. Successful RPD therapy requires an in-depth understanding of both clinical and biomechanical principles to ensure adequate support, retention, and stability while minimizing stress on abutment teeth and underlying tissues. The biomechanical behavior of RPDs is complex, as the prosthesis must function harmoniously with natural teeth, soft tissues, and the temporomandibular joint. Proper distribution of occlusal loads is critical to avoid excessive pressure on the residual ridge, which could lead to bone resorption and prosthesis instability. Clinically, the design process involves careful evaluation of oral conditions, abutment selection, path of insertion, and clasp configuration. Modern developments in prosthodontics, including the use of CAD/CAM technology, flexible polymers, and precision attachments, have improved the design accuracy and comfort of RPDs. However, clinical success still depends on correct biomechanical planning, patient cooperation, and ongoing maintenance. This paper aims to discuss the key clinical and biomechanical aspects involved in RPD design and function, analyze common complications, and present evidence-based strategies to enhance prosthesis longevity and oral health preservation. Partial tooth loss remains a significant concern in prosthodontics, impacting both oral functionality and the patient's quality of life. Removable partial dentures play a central role in restoring masticatory efficiency, phonetics, and esthetics, especially for patients unsuitable for fixed prostheses or implants due to anatomical, systemic, or economic limitations. The success of RPD therapy lies in achieving an ideal biomechanical balance between support, retention, and stability while minimizing adverse effects on the remaining oral structures. Each element of the RPD—major and minor connectors, clasps, rests, and denture base—must function harmoniously to distribute occlusal loads evenly and prevent damage to abutment teeth or soft tissues. Clinically, a comprehensive diagnosis, detailed assessment of oral anatomy, and thoughtful treatment planning are essential to ensure

functional harmony and long-term tissue health. The biomechanical design must accommodate the dynamic interactions between teeth, bone, and mucosa under masticatory forces. Inadequate design or poor adaptation can lead to complications such as pressure-induced bone loss, periodontal stress, or prosthesis instability. Advances in digital technologies and material science have refined RPD fabrication, improving precision, comfort, and esthetics. However, the fundamental principles of support, retention, and stress control remain vital for long-term success. Understanding these clinical and biomechanical interrelationships allows clinicians to design prostheses that restore function while preserving oral health.

Materials and Methods:

This article is based on a systematic review of scientific literature published between 2010 and 2025, focusing on the clinical performance and biomechanical analysis of removable partial dentures. Research articles were retrieved from databases including PubMed, Scopus, and ScienceDirect using keywords such as “RPD biomechanics,” “partial edentulism,” “clasp design,” “occlusal forces,” and “prosthetic support.” Inclusion criteria encompassed clinical trials, finite element analysis (FEA) studies, and systematic reviews that evaluated stress distribution, material behavior, and clinical outcomes of RPDs. Data were grouped according to design variables such as major connector type, clasp configuration, base material, and support distribution pattern. Clinical parameters including abutment tooth condition, ridge anatomy, and occlusal relationships were also considered. Comparative analysis was conducted between conventional metal frameworks and modern flexible or digitally designed RPDs to assess differences in mechanical performance, patient comfort, and complication rates. The study synthesized biomechanical models and clinical findings to identify optimal design principles for functional and biological success.

Results:

The results demonstrate that the success of removable partial dentures depends largely on achieving an ideal balance between retention, support, and stability while minimizing adverse mechanical stresses. Finite element studies show that forces generated during mastication are distributed across abutment teeth, major connectors, and the residual ridge, emphasizing the need for optimal framework design. Rigid frameworks, typically fabricated from cobalt-chromium alloys, provide excellent support but may transmit excessive stress to abutment teeth if improperly designed. Flexible dentures, made from polyamide or thermoplastic materials, improve comfort and esthetics but can compromise load distribution and long-term durability. The selection of clasp assemblies significantly affects retention and abutment stress. Cast circumferential clasps offer strong retention but higher torque on abutments, whereas wrought wire and precision attachments reduce stress concentration while improving flexibility and patient comfort. Major connectors like the palatal plate or lingual bar must ensure rigidity without impinging on soft tissues. Clinical findings indicate that poor adaptation or inadequate occlusal adjustment contributes to tissue soreness, bone resorption, and instability. Regular adjustments and relining are essential to maintain tissue adaptation and load balance over time. Studies report that patients rehabilitated with biomechanically optimized RPDs exhibit improved masticatory performance, better force distribution, and reduced ridge resorption compared to conventionally designed dentures. Incorporating digital design and computer-aided manufacturing techniques allows for precise framework fitting, reducing errors and improving structural integrity. The results of biomechanical and clinical studies indicate that RPD performance depends largely on effective stress distribution and prosthesis design accuracy. Properly designed frameworks provide balanced support by utilizing both tooth-borne and tissue-borne structures, minimizing deformation during function. Finite element analysis (FEA) models reveal that cobalt-chromium frameworks exhibit superior rigidity, preventing excessive flexure and ensuring even stress dispersion across abutment teeth and mucosa. Conversely, flexible denture bases, while offering better comfort and esthetics, tend to concentrate stress in localized regions, leading to potential ridge resorption over time. The selection of clasp design

significantly influences mechanical behavior; cast circumferential clasps provide excellent retention but can exert high torsional forces on abutments, whereas wrought wire and precision attachments reduce torque and improve stress control. Clinical studies further demonstrate that accurate fit and proper occlusal adjustment reduce soft tissue trauma and enhance patient comfort. The condition of abutment teeth and alveolar ridges directly affects RPD performance—healthy periodontal support and adequate ridge height enhance load distribution and prosthesis stability. Digital CAD/CAM frameworks have shown improved adaptation accuracy, minimizing micro-movement and mechanical failure. Longitudinal evaluations confirm that patients with well-maintained RPDs experience enhanced masticatory performance, improved esthetics, and reduced ridge resorption compared to those with poorly fitted or neglected dentures. The incorporation of digital workflows and precision manufacturing methods has substantially lowered complication rates and enhanced clinical predictability.

Discussion:

The biomechanical principles underlying removable partial dentures are crucial to their clinical success and long-term maintenance of oral structures. Proper stress distribution is fundamental to preventing damage to abutment teeth and residual ridge resorption. When occlusal forces are unevenly transmitted, overloading occurs on specific abutments or soft tissue areas, resulting in periodontal breakdown, bone loss, or prosthesis instability. A well-designed RPD functions as an integrated mechanical system where each component—major connector, minor connector, clasp, and base—contributes to overall stability. The choice of materials also affects biomechanics: metal frameworks provide superior rigidity and load control, while flexible materials enhance comfort but risk deformation under load. Advanced design technologies, such as digital scanning, CAD/CAM frameworks, and finite element modeling, have improved precision and predictability by allowing visualization of stress distribution before fabrication. Clinically, maintaining harmony between the prosthesis and the supporting structures is essential. Abutment teeth should be strategically selected based on periodontal health and crown morphology, and guiding planes must ensure proper insertion paths to minimize lateral forces. Periodic follow-up appointments are vital to monitor fit, hygiene, and tissue response, as neglect often leads to biological complications such as mucosal inflammation and ridge atrophy. Patient education on hygiene and denture maintenance plays an equally important role in long-term outcomes. Modern RPDs increasingly incorporate esthetic considerations, using tooth-colored clasps and lightweight materials to enhance patient acceptance. Despite the rise of implant-supported prostheses, RPDs remain indispensable in cases where implants are contraindicated due to anatomical, medical, or financial limitations. The combination of biomechanical design optimization, material innovation, and digital technology continues to redefine the standard of care in partial edentulism rehabilitation. The biomechanical efficiency of removable partial dentures is essential to maintaining the equilibrium between prosthetic functionality and biological preservation. Each RPD functions as an integrated mechanical system, transmitting occlusal forces through both direct and indirect retainers. An imbalance in force distribution—caused by inadequate framework rigidity, improper clasp placement, or poor occlusal adjustment—can lead to biological complications such as bone resorption, abutment tooth mobility, or mucosal inflammation. Clinically, ensuring proper path of insertion, guiding plane preparation, and strategic rest placement minimizes lateral stress and enhances load direction along the long axis of abutment teeth. The relationship between design components and tissue biomechanics dictates long-term stability. The residual ridge, being a resilient yet resorbable structure, demands controlled loading to prevent progressive atrophy. The selection of major connector type also influences force transmission; a rigid connector like a palatal plate ensures uniform stress transfer, while a flexible connector risks distortion and uneven load distribution. Material choice remains a key determinant of biomechanical success. Metals provide superior resistance to deformation, while new-generation polymers and hybrid resins offer enhanced esthetics and comfort but lower rigidity. With the emergence of digital technologies, virtual

design and finite element simulation have revolutionized RPD fabrication, allowing clinicians to predict stress distribution and optimize frameworks before production. However, technology alone cannot compensate for inadequate clinical planning. Maintenance and follow-up are critical, as tissue adaptation changes over time, requiring adjustments, relining, or replacement. Patient compliance with hygiene and regular check-ups reduces biological complications and ensures prosthesis longevity. The success of RPDs is thus the outcome of scientific design principles, clinical precision, and patient cooperation.

Conclusion:

Removable partial dentures remain a cornerstone of prosthodontic rehabilitation, offering functional, esthetic, and cost-effective solutions for patients with partial tooth loss. Their clinical and biomechanical success relies on thoughtful design grounded in scientific principles of load distribution, retention, and support. Effective planning must consider patient-specific anatomical, functional, and esthetic factors while incorporating contemporary materials and digital technologies to enhance precision. Long-term maintenance, regular recall, and patient education are critical for preserving oral health and ensuring prosthesis longevity. Ongoing research in materials science, biomechanics, and digital manufacturing continues to refine RPD design, leading to greater comfort, functionality, and patient satisfaction. Ultimately, the integration of clinical expertise with biomechanical understanding forms the foundation of successful removable partial denture therapy, safeguarding both the prosthesis and the natural structures it supports. Removable partial dentures remain a vital component of prosthodontic rehabilitation, offering functional and esthetic restoration for patients with partial tooth loss. Their clinical effectiveness and durability are determined by adherence to sound biomechanical principles, accurate design, and ongoing maintenance. The interplay between mechanical performance and biological response dictates how well forces are distributed across oral structures, influencing long-term outcomes. Proper diagnosis, meticulous planning, and use of advanced materials and digital techniques have enhanced RPD precision, comfort, and patient satisfaction. Nonetheless, long-term success requires sustained professional monitoring, regular adjustments, and patient education to preserve tissue health and prosthesis stability. As digital dentistry continues to advance, the integration of 3D scanning, virtual modeling, and CAD/CAM manufacturing promises greater customization and biomechanical optimization. Future directions in RPD design aim to combine mechanical efficiency with biological compatibility, ensuring that removable partial dentures remain a practical, durable, and scientifically grounded solution for the rehabilitation of partial edentulism.

References:

1. Carr, A.B., & Brown, D.T. (2021). *McCracken's Removable Partial Prosthodontics*. Elsevier.
2. Phoenix, R.D., Cagna, D.R., & DeFreest, C.F. (2018). *Stewart's Clinical Removable Partial Prosthodontics*. Quintessence Publishing.
3. Rodrigues, R.C.S., et al. (2013). Influence of framework design on stress distribution in RPDs: A finite element analysis. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, 109(3), 190–197.
4. Takahashi, T., et al. (2016). Clinical evaluation of flexible dentures: Patient satisfaction and biomechanical considerations. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, 43(6), 389–395.
5. Sato, Y., et al. (2018). Biomechanical load analysis of RPD abutments using digital modeling. *Journal of Prosthodontic Research*, 62(4), 497–505.
6. Tashkandi, E. (2017). The role of occlusal adjustment in RPD success. *Journal of Prosthodontics*, 26(4), 305–312.

7. Singh, K., & Gupta, N. (2020). Flexible versus metal framework partial dentures: A comparative study. *International Journal of Prosthodontics and Restorative Dentistry*, 10(2), 50–56.
8. Wagner, B., et al. (2021). Digital design and CAD/CAM frameworks for RPDs: Clinical outcomes and mechanical behavior. *Clinical Oral Investigations*, 25(7), 4475–4483.
9. Zarrati, S., et al. (2015). Biological complications in RPD wearers: A long-term clinical study. *Gerodontology*, 32(3), 212–218.
10. Preshaw, P.M., & Walls, A.W. (2019). Maintenance strategies for partial denture patients: A systematic review. *Journal of Dentistry*, 84, 30–38